

**CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL PROJECTS. GRANT PROGRAM.
BOND ACT. INITIATIVE STATUTE.**

PROP
61

ARGUMENT Against Proposition 61

California voters have already approved billions of dollars in bond sales and have mortgaged the future.

The Legislature can always come up with yet another “motherhood and apple pie” project that would be nice.

However, *raising the money* (at this time of deficits and

high debt) *by more borrowing is not responsible.*

Not only the principal but also decades of interest would have to be repaid.

GARY B. WESLEY, *Attorney at Law*

REBUTTAL to Argument Against Proposition 61

We’ve never heard of this attorney who opposes Proposition 61. Have you?

He says that Proposition 61 will hurt California’s future. He’s wrong.

PROPOSITION 61 WILL GIVE THOUSANDS AND THOUSANDS OF SICK KIDS A CHANCE TO HAVE A FUTURE—beat their illnesses and live long and happy lives.

Many mothers of critically ill children worked hard to collect the signatures to put the Children’s Hospital Bond on your ballot because California’s Children’s Hospitals save lives every single day!

Children with leukemia, cancer, sickle cell anemia, cystic fibrosis, and heart disease. Children who are severely injured in car wrecks and house fires.

Thanks to the miraculous work, the finest pediatric research, and the loving care kids get at California’s

Children’s Hospitals, survival rates are improving dramatically.

Today, 80% of children with leukemia are making it and 90% survive delicate heart surgery.

This attorney tries to make a joke out of Proposition 61 by calling it a “motherhood issue.”

It is a “motherhood issue.” And **AS MOTHERS AND FATHERS OF VERY SICK KIDS WE ARE FIGHTING FOR THEIR LIVES.**

Please vote YES on Proposition 61, the Children’s Hospital Bond.

JENNIFER HUMMER, *Parent*

DAVID LIU, *Parent*

DEBBIE CERVANTES, *Parent*